Review on the impact of elevated CO₂ concentrations on fruit species in the face of climate change
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Abstract: Since the industrial revolution, anthropogenic activities have increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration—one of the major causes of global warming—with a 600 to 700 ppm prediction by the end of this century. Orchards and vineyards are critical sustainable production systems that can minimize emissions and sequester carbon within the atmosphere. Information from different databases (i.e., ScienceDirect, Scopus, SciELO, Google Academic, and ResearchGate) was assessed for this literature review. Generally, elevated CO₂ (e-CO₂) positively affected fruit trees, such as increased photosynthesis, efficient use of water, growth, and biomass. Therefore, in many cases, the yield and the quality of fruits also increased. With an e-CO₂ of 600-750 ppm, most C3 plants will grow 30% faster. A total of 1,000 ppm of CO₂ will be optimal for the photosynthesis of various plant species. Fruit trees typically grown in Colombia, such as citrus, grapevine, strawberry, papaya, and pitaya, would benefit from these positive effects, as e-CO₂ alleviates stress due to drought and waterlogging. However, the increased growth of fruit trees due to e-CO₂ requires more nutrients and water. Thus, selecting genotypes that benefit from e-CO₂ and have high efficiency in using nitrogen and water is very important. Ideally, they must have a high sink strength to avoid the accumulation of carbohydrates in the chloroplast. The authors conclude that there is undoubtedly a "fertilization effect of CO₂" on fruit species that increases with the advance of climate change. Still, much research is lacking for fruit species compared to many other crops. Hence, future studies are required to measure the direct effects of atmospheric e-CO₂ and its interactions with environmental variables such as rainfall, temperature, soil moisture, and nutrient availability.
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Resumen: Las actividades antropogénicas han contribuido a que la concentración de CO₂ atmosférico aumente constantemente con una predicción de 600 a 700 ppm para fines de este siglo, siendo una de las mayores causas del calentamiento global. Los huertos frutales y viñedos son importantes sistemas de producción sostenible que pueden minimizar las emisiones y secuestrar carbono de la atmósfera. Para esta revisión de literatura, se evaluó mediante la información obtenida de diferentes bases de datos. Generalmente, el CO₂ elevado (e-CO₂) genera efectos positivos sobre los frutales en procesos como el aumento de la fotosíntesis, el uso eficiente de agua, el crecimiento y la biomasa. Por lo anterior, en muchos casos, el rendimiento y la calidad de los frutos también incrementaron. Se estima que, con un e-CO₂ de 600-750 ppm, la mayoría de las plantas C3 crecerán un 30% más rápido. Con 1000 ppm las condiciones serán óptimas para la fotosíntesis de varias especies vegetales. Los árboles frutales que también crecen en Colombia como los eúcaritos, la vid, la fresa, la papaya y la pitaya, se beneficiarían de los efectos positivos mencionados anteriormente, en tanto que el e-CO₂ aliviaría los efectos del estrés por sequía y anegamiento. Sin embargo, el mayor crecimiento de los frutales por el e-CO₂ exige un mayor suministro de nutrientes y agua, por lo cual es muy importante la selección de genotipos que se benefician del e-CO₂ y que presenten un alto uso eficiente de nitrógeno y agua. Así mismo, es deseable que dichas especies posean una alta fuerza vertedera para evitar la acumulación de carbohidratos en el cloroplasto. Esta revisión permite concluir que existe un "efecto fertilizante del CO₂" sobre las especies frutales que aumenta con el avance del cambio climático. Sin embargo, existe poca investigación en comparación con muchos otros cultivos agrícolas. Por ello, a futuro se requieren estudios que midan los efectos directos del e-CO₂ atmosférico y sus interacciones con variables ambientales, como la lluvia, la temperatura, la humedad del suelo y la disponibilidad de nutrientes.
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Introducción

Uncontrolled deforestation and increasing greenhouse gas emissions are anthropogenic activities responsible for triggering environmental disequilibrium that affects the Earth’s complex climate dynamism (Menezes-Silva et al., 2019). The carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration in the atmosphere has risen at a rate of 2.16 ± 0.09 ppm year$^{-1}$ in recent decades as a result of human activity (Le Quéré et al., 2018), and its increase is expected to continue until emissions are reduced (Anderson et al., 2019). Kumar et al. (2017) reported that CO$_2$ levels had reached concentrations above 400 µmol/mol, and a record increase of 415 ppm has been reported recently (Brito et al., 2020). By the end of this century, the prediction of 600 to 700 ppm will increase the mean surface temperature from 4.5 to 5.0 °C (Leung et al., 2014) and CO$_2$ concentration by 1 to 3 ppm per year (Taiz et al., 2017). The increase in global temperature has a direct impact on the structure and function of ecosystems, including its effects on the physiology and growth of trees (Ceulemans et al., 1999), with CO$_2$ being the critical substrate for photosynthesis and, therefore, the main contributor to world food production (Mishra et al., 2019).

Of the CO$_2$ entering the atmosphere each year due to human activity, 45% remains there, while oceans sequester 25%, and terrestrial ecosystems the rest at 30% on average (Henson, 2011). However, there are significant variations from one year to the next due to climatic cycles and changes in land use (Henson, 2011).

Apart from CO$_2$, emissions associated with greenhouse gases (GHG) mainly include methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O). The three add up to 80% of the GHG (IPCC, 2013). Furthermore, GHGs are associated with fluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) (López-Bellido, 2015). These GHGs have increased dramatically in recent decades (Al-Mamoori et al., 2017; Ouda et al., 2016) caused mainly by the combustion of fossil materials (coal, oil, and natural gas), industrial emissions, deforestation, and soil degradation (Henson, 2011; IPCC, 2013).

According to the IPCC (2019), climate change affects food security due to warming, altered rain patterns, and a greater frequency of extreme weather events. Furthermore, the impact and consequences of climate change for agriculture tend to be more severe for countries with higher initial temperatures, areas with marginal or already degraded lands, and lower levels of development with little adaptive capacity (Yohannes, 2016). Mishra et al. (2019) stated that in the long term, the exposure of plants to high CO$_2$ (e-CO$_2$), high temperatures, and droughts would considerably affect the balance of ecosystem processes at the local and global levels.

The e-CO$_2$ in trees markedly improves productivity due to increased efficiency in water use, a high rate of photosynthesis, more sugar accumulation in fruits, and higher biomass production (Rajan et al., 2020) (figure 1). However, Ebi et al. (2021) lessen this enthusiasm because e-CO$_2$ can also alter the nutritional quality of C3 plants. Fruit plantations can contribute positively to sustainable development in the climate change scenario in the tropics, considering that the current expansion of agriculture and poverty level continues reducing forest resources (Patil & Kumar, 2017). Wu et al. (2012) estimate that apple orchards in China, the largest producer of
this fruit globally, can offset between 1.6 % and 3.0 % of CO₂ emissions from burning fossil fuels in China, highlighting the importance of these orchards for carbon sequestration.

Figure 1. Diagram of the increase (↑) and decrease (↓) of growth parameters and physiology of the fruit plant under increased atmospheric CO₂.
Source: Modified based on Mishra et al. (2019) and Ramírez and Kallarackal (2015)

There is enough research that indicates that atmospheric e-CO₂ increases net photosynthesis, biomass accumulation, seed and fruit yield, water use efficiency (figure 1), light interception, nutrient uptake, and the water potential of plants (Tognetti et al., 2005). Overall, e-CO₂ is expected to improve the fruit nutrient content (Balasooriya et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the studies that find interactions with other environmental and genetic factors that modify these results in e-CO₂ events should not be underestimated (Mishra et al., 2019).

Thus, plant responses to e-CO₂ and the resulting changes in species can be strongly affected by interactions with other environmental factors and climate change, including tropospheric ozone, temperature, water, and nitrogen (Bradley & Pregitzer, 2007). For this reason, Allen and Vu (2009) warned that global e-CO₂ does not have the same effects on all plant species and all environments, so it is unwise to extend predictions from research in well-irrigated systems to those cultivated in hot and arid environments or milder climatic zones.

In many cases, the effects of climate change are examined through each factor involved, but it must be considered that the response of plants to climate change is not the sum of the reactions to the different phenomena but results from the interaction between all these (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2017). According to the concept of multidimensionality by Zandalinas et al. (2021), all climatic factors occur at the same time, which is why studies in open areas such as the FACE system (Free Air CO₂ Enrichment) (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2015; Sánchez et al., 2015) or also semi-open (open-top chambers) have gained much importance in research into climate change in our crops. Studies of an e-CO₂ in the FACE system, which usually runs for several years, have shown effects on photosynthesis and stomata, kept closed longer to directly
reduce transpiration (figure 1). However, mitochondrial respiration increases due to increased leaf temperature (Nobel, 1999; Taiz et al., 2017).

Nobel (1991) stated that many C3 plants (all fruit trees except pineapple and cacti such as pitaya and prickly pear, which are CAM plants) need an optimal CO₂ concentration of about 1,000 ppm to fix carbon during photosynthesis since no saturation has been observed at the current levels of ambient CO₂ (Mishra et al., 2019). Taiz et al. (2017) reported that with e-CO₂ up to 600-750 ppm, most C3 plants would grow 30 % faster, but the growth rate may become limited by the availability of nutrients for the plant (figure 1).

Because the current concentration of CO₂ barely exceeds 400 ppm (Kumar et al., 2017), CO₂ deficiency is one of the limiting factors for photosynthesis and crop production (Fischer et al., 2016; Song et al., 2020). An atmospheric e-CO₂ would increase plant photosynthesis through a “CO₂ fertilizer effect” (Mishra et al., 2019). This effect is generated by biomass production (figure 1) derived from photosynthesis, defined by Larcher (2003) as 85 % to 92 % of dry matter. Due to the more significant accumulation of carbohydrates by plants, e-CO₂ will also increase its availability for symbiotic organisms such as mycorrhizal fungi and associated rhizospheric bacteria (Bhargava & Mitra, 2021).

The e-CO₂ up to 1,000 µmol/mol used inside greenhouses to increase crop production (mostly of vegetables and strawberries) is a technology that has been applied for several years (Becker & Kläring, 2016). However, in greenhouses, especially for reasons of the indoor climate, ventilation cannot be opened. Therefore, the concentrations of CO₂ in the air can decrease to 150 µmol/mol during the day due to plants, as found by Kläring et al. (2007) for cucumber.

Nitrogen availability and cycling play a crucial role in regulating responses to e-CO₂, especially in temperate ecosystems (Reich et al., 2006) but also in the tropics (DaMatta et al., 2010) because low N levels do not stimulate increased production in systems with CO₂ enrichment (figure 1) (Leakey et al., 2012). Thus, they alter the relationship between nutrient demand and crop growth (Cruz et al., 2016). In this context, it is essential to consider that more significant applications of nitrogen fertilizers can increase the release of N₂O into the atmosphere, which is a potent greenhouse gas. Therefore, efforts should increase efficiency in using nitrogen from plants (Jackson et al., 2011). It is also true that moderate CO₂ enrichment can improve N absorption efficiency and decrease N loss from the soil associated with a decrease in nitrification and denitrification under a high application of N (Dong et al., 2020).

Experiments in temperate zones showed that e-CO₂ could alleviate drought stress (Leakey, 2009) and the drying effects of warming (Morgan et al., 2011). In general, it is assumed that water use in the plant canopy is lower under e-CO₂ conditions because, although the leaf area index may increase, there is a lower stomatal conductance on average (Ainsworth & Lemonnier, 2018) that compensates by a larger evaporation surface (Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007). In tomato, Wei et al. (2018) found that an e-CO₂ of 800 ppm attenuated the effects of water-deficit stress on performance.

It is well known that high temperatures reduce the net gain of carbon in C3 species by increasing photorespiration (Nobel, 1999). Therefore, e-CO₂ can decrease photorespiration.
and increase photosynthesis, mainly under high-temperature conditions rather than low temperatures (DaMatta et al., 2010), partially offsetting the effects of supra-optimal temperatures on yield (Polley, 2002). DaMatta et al. (2010) concluded that e-CO$_2$ would have the most positive effects on the growth of crops with temperatures close to the optimum. In regions highly affected by high temperatures, such as low latitudes, a progressive increase in heat decreases crop yield independently of e-CO$_2$ (Polley, 2002).

Usually, the e-CO$_2$ applied by horticulturists generates higher yields (Gruda et al., 2019). However, ambient CO$_2$ can reduce input costs for CO$_2$ enrichment. Gruda et al. (2019) reported it as unlikely that these applications will be unnecessary in the future. Crops benefit from even higher levels with this gas, considering the most pessimistic scenario of the IPCC (2013) for 2100 with about 935 ppm of CO$_2$. On the other hand, CO$_2$ applications in greenhouses are restricted by the ambient temperature. If it is high, the vents must be opened, making the e-CO$_2$ ineffective due to losses to the outside (De Zwart, 2012). This effect may still be aggravated by heat waves generated by climate change (Bisbis et al., 2018).

There are few publications on fruit trees with e-CO$_2$ than other crops (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2015; Wohlfahrt et al., 2018). Studies, especially on vegetables, showed that with e-CO$_2$, the concentrations of calcium, glucose, fructose, total soluble solids, total flavonoids, total phenols, ascorbic acid, and the total antioxidant capacity could increase in the edible part of vegetables. However, e-CO$_2$ can also decrease the concentrations of nitrate, magnesium, zinc, iron, and protein (Dong et al., 2018).

Becker and Kläring (2016) found that e-CO$_2$ in greenhouses or plant factories for species such as lettuce increases the availability of precursors for the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds that are health promoters. Increased e-CO$_2$ can also increase the nutritional quality of fruits (Fischer et al., 2016). Moretti et al. (2010) reported that e-CO$_2$ increased ascorbic acid levels in postharvest strawberries and oranges. Therefore, this review article aims to report the effects of the increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ on the physiology, growth, and production of fruit species. This knowledge can be used to decide on species selection, management, and the mechanisms to mitigate these effects.

**Materials and methods**

The information from different databases, including ScienceDirect, Scopus, SciELO, Google Academic, and ResearchGate, was assessed for this literature review. The search was made using keywords (in English and Spanish) such as “CO$_2$,” “carbon dioxide,” “CO$_2$ fertilization,” “carbon fertilization,” “climate change,” “fruits,” “fruit trees,” “fruit plants.” From the mentioned databases, we obtained 88 sources that include scientific national and international articles, books, and book chapters from the last 30 years, in English and Spanish.

The different fruit trees were chosen according to the research with CO$_2$ in the last three decades. From these, the studies of species important in Colombia, such as different citrus fruits, grape, papaya, strawberry, and pitaya, are described in more detail in table 1.
Effect of high CO$_2$ on the physiology and production of fruit trees

The effects of e-CO$_2$ in the air near the leaf blade are well-known and reported. They include decreased stomatal opening, stomatal conductance, and transpiration. In response, photosynthesis and plant growth increase. Additionally, the plants show greater efficiency in the use of water (Pritchard & Amthor, 2005; Stöckle et al., 2011) and light (Drake & González-Meler, 1997). The key reason for this enriched photosynthesis is the increased carboxylation efficiency of RuBisCO, which is relatively low in the concentration of ambient atmospheric CO$_2$ (Mishra et al., 2019).

If the environmental level of CO$_2$ increases from 350 to 550 ppm (at 25 °C), with time, the rates of photosynthesis will be reduced in some species, compared to plants grown at ambient levels of CO$_2$. This effect is called “photosynthetic acclimation,” attributed to five mechanisms at the cellular level, reported by Ramírez and Kallarackal (2015): (1) gene repression and sugar accumulation; (2) insufficient nitrogen uptake by the plant; (3) a link of carbohydrate accumulation with inorganic phosphate and, consequently, a limitation in the renewing capacity of RuBP; (4) accumulation of starch in the chloroplast; and (5) capability of triose phosphate utilization. Photosynthetic acclimation with e-CO$_2$ is a critical topic that must be assessed in detail because it would indicate that the advantage of e-CO$_2$ can be lost over time. Therefore, new studies must involve several growing cycles.

Since e-CO$_2$ generates higher photosynthesis rates, a more significant amount of assimilates is available to be channeled towards biosynthetic pathways of different types (Treutter, 2010), resulting in higher levels of secondary metabolites due to the greater availability of precursor molecules (Becker & Kläring, 2016). Furthermore, in e-CO$_2$ environments, because of the greater number of growing sink organs, there is an increase in the demand for photo-assimilates, which stimulate the net assimilation rates of carbon in the form of sucrose and starch (Bhargava & Mitra, 2021). e-CO$_2$ (750 ± 30 μmol/mol) can increase photosynthesis rates without affecting stomatal conductance and dark respiration. Nevertheless, ABA and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid levels cannot do this without a stressful condition such as salinity (reported in the tomato), where the intermediates of the Krebs cycle in e-CO$_2$ can also be increased to reduce the harmful effect of salinity (Brito et al., 2020). However, e-CO$_2$ can also decrease the respiratory rate (Kochhar & Gujral, 2020). Cytochrome pathway respiration may be affected, and respiration may be increased through the alternative oxidase pathway due to a decrease in O$_2$, an aspect that also deserves more attention.

Bitter orange trees planted in open-top chambers with transparent plastic for 17 years at 300 ppm CO$_2$ higher than the ambient concentration (table 1) showed a 70 % increase in the total biomass of the orange tree compared to the control tree (Kimball et al., 2007). This improvement came from the more significant number of fruits produced, mainly by numerous branches, an increase in the thickness of the trunk and branches, and an increase in the length of the fine roots in 64.5 % and 57.2 % at soil depths of 0-15 and 15-30 cm, respectively (Prior et al., 2012). These advantages can considerably improve the productivity of fruit trees.
Table 1. Examples of the effect of CO₂ enrichment on fruit trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange tree ‘Ambersweet’</td>
<td>Greenhouses with 360 and 720 ppm CO₂ at 0, 1.5, 3.0, and 4.5 °C above ambient temperature.</td>
<td>The e-CO₂ increased the growth parameters except for leaves and fine root biomass. There was no CO₂ × temperature interaction.</td>
<td>Allen and Vu (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter orange (Citrus aurantium)</td>
<td>Plants in open-top chambers with transparent plastic, for 17 years at 300 ppm CO₂ higher than the ambient concentration.</td>
<td>The e-CO₂ increased the total biomass of the orange tree by 70 %, noted in a more significant number of fruits and branches. It increased the thickness of the trunk and branches and the number of roots. There were no significant changes in the elemental composition of the biomass produced.</td>
<td>Kimball et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satsuma mandarin (Citrus unshiu cv. Uenowase)</td>
<td>Photosynthesis of the fruit bark and leaves at concentrations between 50 and 1,000 ppm CO₂ was measured.</td>
<td>Up to 500 ppm e-CO₂ the gross photosynthetic rate of the fruit shell increased, but at higher concentrations, it decreased. Foliar photosynthesis constantly increased between 50 and 1,000 ppm CO₂.</td>
<td>Hiratsuka et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon (Citrus limon var. ‘Villafranca,’ grafted onto C. volkameriana)</td>
<td>Three greenhouse compartments at 400, 650, and 850 ppm CO₂, with water-deficit stress of the plants for one month (after two months of irrigation), followed by re-irrigation for another month.</td>
<td>Seedlings exposed to e-CO₂ and a 1-month drought maintained their growth but decreased at 400 ppm. The down-regulation of stomata to e-CO₂ decreased plants’ foliar transpiration and daytime water use by 13 % - 46 %, but with even higher photosynthesis by 15 % - 25 % than those grown at ambient CO₂.</td>
<td>Paudel et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Prunus cerasus × P. canescens) sweet cherry rootstock</td>
<td>400 and 800 ppm CO₂, 26 °C, with and without waterlogging.</td>
<td>e-CO₂ increased photosynthesis and non-structural carbohydrates, helping plants overcome waterlogging.</td>
<td>Pérez-Jiménez et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape (Vitis vinifera) ‘Tempranillo’</td>
<td>In greenhouses with ambient CO₂ at 24/14 °C and 700 ppm CO₂ at 28/18 °C (climate change), UV-B 0, 5.98, and 9.66 kJ m⁻²d⁻¹.</td>
<td>Climate change always generated the highest photosynthetic yield. UV-B can help alleviate the signs of oxidative damage and slow berry ripening under high temperature and CO₂ conditions.</td>
<td>Martínez-Lüscher et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape (Vitis vinifera) ‘Tempranillo’ red and white</td>
<td>In a greenhouse with two levels of CO₂ (400 and 700 ppm) × two temperatures (ambient and 4 °C higher) × two water regimes (well-watered and cyclical drought).</td>
<td>The yield was significantly reduced by drought. Thermal shocks (&gt; 35 °C) induced burns and losses of 50 % of the berries. High temperatures and drought increased the pH of the grape juice due to the decrease in malic acid; e-CO₂ decreased the pH with increases in tartaric acid.</td>
<td>Kizildeniz et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit Type</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Effect of e-CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape (Vitis vinifera) ‘Riesling’ and ‘Cabernet Sauvignon’</td>
<td>FACE with ambient CO₂ (400 ppm) and e-CO₂ (+ 20 % of ambient CO₂)</td>
<td>Increase in primary productivity in e-CO₂ due to greater assimilation of CO₂, WUEi, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and pre-dawn leaf water potential increased under e-CO₂. The e-CO₂ resulted in a higher bunch weight without altering the sugar content of the juice on the date of harvest.</td>
<td>Wohlfahrt et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry (Fragaria ananassa cv. Toyonoka)</td>
<td>At two temperatures, 20/15 °C, and 25/20 °C day/night at 360 and 720 ppm CO₂ with 0 and 0.01 % NH₄NO₃ in growth chambers.</td>
<td>The e-CO₂ and high temperature caused a further decrease of 12 % and 35 % in fruit yield, respectively, regardless of fertilization with low or high nitrogen concentrations. The e-CO₂ increased dry matter, fructose, glucose, total sugar, and sweetness index but decreased the total antioxidant capacity in fruits. Low-temperature e-CO₂ increased the production and quality of the strawberry.</td>
<td>Sun et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry (Fragaria ananassa var. ‘Hongyan’)</td>
<td>e-CO₂ (750-850 ppm) vs. environmental CO₂ (350-500 ppm) in the Chinese Solar Greenhouse compartments.</td>
<td>The e-CO₂ increased the size, net photosynthetic rate, and light saturation point of the leaves but decreased the light compensation point. The foliar tissue transcriptome identified 150 genes differentially expressed in response to e-CO₂, of which 14 genes were involved in photosynthesis.</td>
<td>Li et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papaya (Carica papaya) Tainung #1 F1 híbrido</td>
<td>e-CO₂ of 750 ppm vs. control (390 ppm) in the greenhouse and two levels of nitrogen (3 mM or 8 mM).</td>
<td>The e-CO₂ increased the assimilation rate of the seedlings at both levels of N. The e-CO₂ increased the instantaneous efficiency of water use with the two levels of N. The e-CO₂ stimulated the accumulation of dry mass in plants, especially for plants cultivated with lower levels of N.</td>
<td>Cruz et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow pitaya (Selenicereus megalanthus)</td>
<td>e-CO₂ of 1,000 ppm vs. control (380 ppm) in heated greenhouse chambers.</td>
<td>Plants with e-CO₂ of 1,000 ppm reacted with 129, 68, and 233 % increases in the daily intake of CO₂, dry mass of the stem, and the number of flower buds, respectively. In addition, fruits’ fresh weight increased by 63 %, compared to those grown in environmental CO₂.</td>
<td>Weiss et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.
Impact of elevated CO$_2$ concentrations on fruit
Young lemon (*Citrus limon*) plants exposed to 650 and 850 ppm CO$_2$ and a 1-month drought maintained their growth. However, at 400 ppm it decreased (table 1) due to the down-regulation of the stomata by which the foliar transpiration and the daytime use of water of the plants decreased by 13 % - 46 %, although the photosynthesis was 15 % - 25 % higher compared to environmental CO$_2$ (Paudel et al., 2018). The authors concluded that e-CO$_2$ partially offset the effects of drought on plant development and thus diminished some of the effects of anthropogenic climate change.

In the Satsuma mandarin with e-CO$_2$, up to 500 ppm increases the gross photosynthetic rate of the fruit peel, but at higher concentrations, the rate decreases, a reaction similar to C4 photosynthesis (Hiratsuka et al., 2015). The authors find that foliar photosynthesis constantly increases between 50 and 1,000 ppm CO$_2$ (table 1). Interestingly, the photosynthesis of the fruit was higher than the foliar at a low photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 13.5 to 68 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; for this reason, Hiratsuka et al. (2015) characterized the species as an intermediate state between C3, C4, and shade plants.

Viticulture regions are confined to unique climatic niches; climate change affects them significantly due to changes in precipitation and temperature. The changes can destabilize the balance between climate, soil, and plant, with profound effects on the production of high-quality wines (Moriondo et al., 2013), meaning global warming will shift for new regions towards the poles and in the tropics to the increased altitude that may potentially become more suitable for growing and producing high-quality wines (Jones et al., 2005). This situation is critical in Colombia, where grapevines are grown above 2,200 m a.s.l.

The greenhouse and FACE studies (table 1) showed an increase in photosynthetic yield and thus a higher production of grapes (Martínez-Lüscher et al., 2015; Wohlfahrt et al., 2018). Bindi et al. (2001) observed a 45–50 % increase in grape biomass production when the CO$_2$ concentration rose to 700 µmol/mol without adverse effects on the quality of the grape or wine. However, when e-CO$_2$ was accompanied by drought or thermal shocks due to supra-optimal temperatures, induced burns and a considerable loss of the berries greatly affected the yield (table 1) (Kizildeniz et al., 2018).

Performance is highly dependent on photosynthesis, and CO$_2$ is one of the essential constituents of this process (Li et al., 2020). In strawberries, e-CO$_2$ of 720 ppm and high temperatures (25/20 °C day/night) decreased the yield due to the lower number of inflorescences induced at these temperatures, while low temperatures (20/15 °C) increased their production and quality (table 1) (Sun et al., 2012). These authors warned that the optimal temperatures for flower induction must be considered for e-CO$_2$, which must be low since global warming can affect strawberry production.

Effects on the quality of strawberries occur when e-CO$_2$ (300 and 600 ppm higher than ambient CO$_2$) increases the concentrations of flavonoids and anthocyanins in fruits (Wang et al., 2003). The authors found that plants grown in e-CO$_2$ showed higher oxygen-free radical absorbance activity in the fruit (Wang et al., 2003). Two studies of the effect of e-CO$_2$ on strawberry leaves showed an increase in leaf size, photosynthesis, and light saturation (table 1) (Li et al., 2020). These results confirmed what was observed by Keutgen et al. (1997) in which
an e-CO$_2$ of 600 ppm promoted net foliar photosynthesis, while at a higher concentration, it led to a decrease in net assimilation.

Cactaceous fruits such as yellow pitaya (Selenicereus megalanthus), characterized by the acid metabolism of Crassulaceae (CAM), reacted to an e-CO$_2$ of 1,000 ppm (vs. 380 ppm environmental) with a tremendous increase in the daily intake of CO$_2$ and vegetative and reproductive growth factors (table 1) compared to the red pitaya (Hylocereus undatus) that also showed a considerable increase in vegetative growth, but only a 7% increase in the fresh weight of fruits, compared to 63% of yellow pitaya (Weiss et al., 2010). The authors attributed the response to a differential adaptation of these two species to humid conditions (Weiss et al., 2010). Rajan et al. (2020) classified pitayas (dragon fruits) as very suitable for climate change conditions due to their lower demand for soil moisture and reduced transpiration rate (figure 1).

CO$_2$ fixation occurs mainly at night in CAM plants, catalyzed by the cytosolic enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) to produce malate or aspartate, stored in vacuoles (Mishra et al., 2019; Nobel, 1999). Decarboxylation occurs during the day and results in the release of CO$_2$ from malic or aspartic acid and its conversion to carbohydrates in the Calvin cycle (Taiz et al., 2017). Due to their transpiration efficiency (three to five times higher than C3 or C4 plants), these plants are more suitable in places with water scarcity (Mishra et al., 2019).

Cruz et al. (2016) found that for papaya seedlings, e-CO$_2$ at 750 ppm increased not only the assimilation rate but also the instantaneous efficiency of water use (table 1), especially at the higher level of N applied (52% at 8 mM N), compared to the lowest (16% at 3 mM N). The e-CO$_2$ stimulated the accumulation of dry mass in the plants, especially for plants cultivated with lower levels of N. However, e-CO$_2$ decreased N concentrations in all plant organs (figure 1), regardless of the level of N used (Cruz et al., 2016).

Unfortunately, neither Colombia nor other countries have studied CO$_2$ on important fruits for export, e.g., cape gooseberry, purple passionfruit, and sweet granadilla. In Colombia, no studies have been carried out with different concentrations of CO$_2$ in fruit trees.

**Aspects of mitigating the adverse effect of CO$_2$ on fruit trees**

Despite the increase in atmospheric CO$_2$, considering global warming and altered rainfall, food production is uncertain for the future (Haokip et al., 2020). For the profitable production of fruit trees, climate change, especially e-CO$_2$, is a tremendous challenge for the producer needing to find possible solutions to mediate these effects (Fischer et al., 2016). Therefore, fruit growers must achieve high efficiency in the use of nutrients (particularly nitrogen) and water, while guaranteeing a sufficient entry of light (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2015), e.g., through greater distances between trees, so that plant conduction and pruning achieve optimal leaf growth and development (Casierra-Posada & Fischer, 2012).

Fruit growers must guarantee adequate “soil fertilization with CO$_2$” to increase soil respiration (Fischer et al., 2016). In Italy, organically managed vineyards that apply manure and bury pruning residues showed higher soil respiration rates than conventional orchards (Brunori et
al., 2016). Thus, a 2/3 increase in soil CO$_2$ production is seen for microorganisms and 1/3 for root respiration when fertilizers are applied organically (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012).

Likewise, producers must mitigate climate change by selecting more adapted cultivars that respond to e-CO$_2$ and are capable of tolerating drought or waterlogging conditions (Fischer et al., 2016). The plant’s response capacity to e-CO$_2$ is related to the sink’s intrinsic capacity, adaptive plasticity, and good progress. These aspects could be achieved with plant breeding programs or genetic engineering (Dingkuhn et al., 2020). Also, Mishra et al. (2019), with a view to prolonged e-CO$_2$ levels (the reality of climate change), underlie the species and varieties with high sink strength to accumulate carbohydrates. These plants do not react with suppression of photosynthesis, unlike the plants that accumulate carbohydrates excessively in leaf tissues (figure 1). In this regard, exploring the genomic basis of local adaptation is essential for evaluating the conditions under which fruit trees will successfully adapt in situ to global climate change (Cortés et al., 2020).

Because e-CO$_2$ is accompanied by an increase in temperature and, in many cases, by more intense dry seasons, new orchards should be established at higher altitudes and latitudes (Fischer & Melgarejo, 2020; Houston et al., 2018) where there is sufficient availability of water for irrigation. Preferably irrigation systems should be used, which reduce GHG emissions (CO$_2$ and N$_2$O), such as underground drip irrigation (Jackson et al., 2011) or deficit irrigation that saves much water without damaging the quality of fruits (Vélez-Sánchez et al., 2019, 2021). An increase in altitude decreases CO$_2$ partial pressure and H$_2$O vapor (Nobel, 1999). However, in the case of deciduous fruit trees in the inner tropics, it is not sure that an increase in altitude for the plantations will guarantee sufficient chilling hours to break bud rest so that the growers continue defoliating and applying chemicals to trees to break dormancy (Luedeling et al., 2011).

Based on observations of farmers’ performance in the face of extreme climatic events, resilience is highly related to the high biodiversity of farms, typical in traditional agricultural systems, especially in combination with agroecological methods that are the only viable methods for maintaining productivity and sustainability of peasant agriculture under climate change (Altieri & Nicholls, 2017; Pérez et al., 2010). However, further studies are required under the new scenarios to evaluate the impacts of climate change, as they may serve as tools in decision-making for the management of fruit species and their productivity.

To avoid losses in fruit production due to e-CO$_2$, Haokip et al. (2020) proposed implementing a plan based on a strategic scientific impact assessment with the adaptations and mitigation necessary. Sharma et al. (2021) confirmed that sustainable fruit production systems could mitigate emissions and sequester carbon within the atmosphere. Besides the shallow soil tillage, which preserves the soil organic matter, the structural characteristics of orchards and vineyards, including their long-life cycle, permanent organs (trunk, branches, roots), and high yields, force them to accumulate a considerable amount of carbon (Sharma et al., 2021). Also, Marín et al. (2016) reported high carbon fixation rates (17.7 t year$^{-1}$) in agroforestry systems with citrus species.
Conclusions

Due to human activity, the concentration of CO₂ is constantly increasing and stands out as one of the major causes of global warming. Generally, e-CO₂ positively affects fruit trees, such as increased photosynthesis, water use efficiency, and growth. Therefore, in many cases, the yield and the quality of fruits also increase.

The increased growth of fruit trees due to e-CO₂ requires more nutrients and water, so selecting genotypes that benefit from e-CO₂, make highly efficient use of nitrogen and water, and have a high sink strength, is very important. More importantly, fruit growers should select cultivars adapted to climate change that respond to e-CO₂ and tolerate drought or waterlogging conditions.

Thanks to their permanent organs, sustainable fruit production systems can minimize emissions and sequester carbon within the atmosphere. Also, to fulfill the requirement of sufficient CO₂ fertilization, especially of the lower plant part, increased production of soil CO₂ through organic fertilizer application is a good strategy.

The authors conclude that there is unquestionably a “fertilization effect of CO₂” on fruit species that increases with the progress of climate change. However, much research lacks fruit trees compared to many other field crops. Further studies are required to address the direct effects of atmospheric e-CO₂ and its interactions with environmental variables such as rainfall, temperature, soil moisture, nutrient availability, and the vapor pressure deficit with altitude.
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